

Possible experimental proof of Lorentz interpretation (LI) of GRT - further arguments

Poster DPG 2019

J. Brandes

Aachen, München 2019

[g-brandes-j\(a t\)outlook.de](mailto:g-brandes-j(a t)outlook.de)

6.2.2019 Preliminary version

last update:

1. Preliminary remark

LI of GRT [22] is a rational interpretation of classical GRT relying on mainstream physics. Nobel Prize winner Kip S. Thorne calls LI of GRT "the flat spacetime paradigm" of GRT [1], [2]. There is no difference in the predictions of relativistic experiments of both interpretations except, within LI of GRT black holes have no event horizon. Event horizon means: "A black hole is a region of spacetime exhibiting such strong gravitational effects that nothing - not even particles and electromagnetic radiation such as light - can escape from inside it." [3] This is not the case for LI of GRT.

2. Possible experimental proof of Lorentz interpretation (LI) of GRT by VLBI

The most important event testing LI of GRT is the paper of S. Issaoun et al 'The Size, Shape, and Scattering of Sagittarius A* at 86 GHz: First VLBI with ALMA' [7] Commented by [8] – [10]. It gives a first image of SGR A* which allows a comparison with simulations e. g. of [5], [6]. Figure 1 shows the main result and is taken from [9].

It's easy to see from figure 1: The comparison of simulation and measurement is discouraging for classical GRT and encouraging for LI of GRT. A central feature of the simulations is a black center - the shadow of the black hole surrounded by white curls of the accretion disk, s. fig.1, upper left. But the observed image is bright and contains now visible black shadow, s. fig.1, lower left. It looks like a radiating massive object as is predicted by LI of GRT [24], fig. 21.7. There are two arguments defending classical GRT:

a.) Possibly the shadow of the black hole is outshined by light from the accretion disk and the better resolution of the EHT will correct it? Such an argument by specialists is missing and so, more probable the EHT measurements should confirm the measurements of S. Issaoun et al [7] and no shadow will be seen.

b.) A (first) explanation of S. Issaoun and H. Falcke: "the radio jet is pointing almost at us" [10] and we are seeing a bright center with a hidden shadow. A contrived model? Yes, because the directions of total angular momentum of the galaxy and of SGR A* should be (more or less) the same. But such an argument confirms that none of the BH simulations fit to the observation.

Contrary, the situation is encouraging for LI of GRT. The observed image is isotropic (nearly circular, no big difference between minor and major axis). This is easily explained by LI of GRT since here SGR A* is a sphere having a certain brightness being surrounded by an accretion disk. The sphere of SGR A* is seen as a flat circle a little bit enlarged and distorted by the accretion disk.

Quantitative considerations

"We find that the intrinsic image of SgrA* has an asymmetry (axial ratio) of $1.2+0.3 -0.2$ and a major axis of $120 \pm 34 \mu\text{as}$, although we cannot constrain the position angle because of the highly symmetric intrinsic source." [7]. So, the minor axis is $100 \mu\text{as}$ and should be comparable with the TOV calculation [21] of the sphere whose radius became $r = 1.56 r_{sm}$

The diameter of the sphere d_{SGRA^*}

$$d_{\text{SGRA}^*} = 2 * 1.56 * r_{sm}$$

r_{sm} is seen from earth under $10 \mu\text{as}$. Gravitational lensing yields a factor of 2.5. So, one gets


$$d_{\text{SGRA}^*} / \mu\text{as} = 2 * 1.56 * 10 * 2,50$$

$$d_{\text{SGRA}^*} = 78 \mu\text{as}$$

This compared to the measured result of $100 \mu\text{as}$ is a rational result and one could do better by taking into consideration the dimensions of the accretion disk. **Even if more precise EHT observations would change the priorities the ALMA results prefer LI of GRT.**

3. Further arguments

Some earlier ideas concerning experimental proof of LI of GRT see [23], some newer ones are listed below.



Top left: simulation of Sgr A* at 86 GHz. Top right: simulation with added effects of scattering. Bottom right: scattered image from the observations, this is how we see Sgr A* on the sky. Bottom left: the unscattered image, after removing the effects of scattering in our line of sight, this is how Sgr A* really looks like. Credit: S. Issaoun, M. Mościbrodzka, Radboud University/ M. D. Johnson, CfA

Figure 1 Bottom left: this is how Sgr A* really looks like. Top left: simulation of Sgr A* at 86 GHz , Taken from [9]

a.) Claiming that nothing can escape from a black hole then gravitational waves become difficult and *LI of GRT is proven*: In the case of GW170608 [4] the total mass of the binary system was $19 M_{\odot}$ with components of $12 M_{\odot}$ and $7 M_{\odot}$. The final black hole mass was $18 M_{\odot}$. This proves that mass as large as $1 M_{\odot}$ escaped out of a black hole by gravitational waves and favors LI of GRT which allows it explicitly. [23], chapter 5.

b.) Van Putten et al [18] had a deeper look at the GW spectra of GW170817 and ‘a black hole converted to a neutron star of $2.75 M_{\odot}$.’ Possibly, better measurements of the GW spectra generally prove that the end products possess features different from black holes. Comments by [19].

c.) Avery E. Broderick et al [11], [12] state: “That Sgr A* is indeed a black hole, i.e., contains a horizon, is implied by its spectral energy distribution (SED), which lacks the thermal bump associated with accretion onto a photosphere (Broderick & Narayan 2006; Broderick et al. 2009).” Certainly, LI of GRT needs to falsify this challenging argument. First ideas: SGR A* is a supermassive degenerate star [23]. The chemical potential is different from zero, the kinetic energy of the infalling particles is converted to enlarge the object and not thermalized. Further: Light emission from a degenerate object is more likely similar to LED than thermal.

d.) R. Pasham et al [13]. First idea: the quasi-periodicity and its increasing rms - Figure S11 – is connected with the rotating surface of the central SMO. Comments by [14].

e.) N. Paul et al [15] First idea: Tidal disruption events are one side, the other side are meteoroid like hits on the (counter rotating) surface of the central SMO. Their released energy might be bigger. Comments by [16], [17].

4. Literature

- [1] Kip S. Thorne (1994): *Black holes and time warps. Einsteins outrageous legacy*, New York, London: Norton, page 402, 403
- [2] Kip S. Thorne (1994): *Gekrümmter Raum und verbogene Zeit. Einsteins Vermächtnis*. München Droemer Knauer 4. Auflage 1994, Seite 462, 463
- [3] [Wald, Robert M.](#) (1984). *General Relativity*. University of Chicago Press. [ISBN 978-0-226-87033-5](#) pp. 299–300
- [4] The [LIGO Scientific Collaboration](#), the [Virgo Collaboration](#): GW170608: Observation of a 19-solar-mass Binary Black Hole Coalescence [arXiv:1711.05578v1](#)
- [5] [Heino Falcke](#), [Fulvio Melia](#), [Eric Agol](#) *Viewing the Shadow of the Black Hole at the Galactic Center* [arXiv:astro-ph/9912263](#)
- [6] [Markus Pössel](#) Schattenriss eines Schwarzen Lochs: das Event Horizon Telescope [9. April 2017](#) | <https://scilog.spektrum.de/re-lativ-einfach/schattenriss-eines-schwarzen-lochs-das-event-horizon-teleskope/>
- [7] S. Issaoun, M. D. Johnson, L. Blackburn, C. D. Brinkerink, M. Mościbrodzka, A. Chael, C. Goddi, I. Martí-Vidal, J. Wagner, S. S. Doeleman, H. Falcke, T. P. Krichbaum, K. Akiyama, U. Bach, K. L. Bouman, G. C. Bower, A. Broderick, I. Cho, G. Crew, J. Dexter, V. Fish, R. Gold, J. L. Gómez, K. Hada, A. Hernández-Gómez, M. Janßen, M. Kino, M. Kramer, L. Loinard, R.-S. Lu, S. Markoff, D. P. Marrone, L. D. Matthews, J. M. Moran, C. Müller, F. Roelofs, E. Ros, H. Rottmann, S. Sanchez, R. P. J. Tilanus, P. de Vicente, M. Wielgus, J. A. Zensus, and G.-Y. Zhao: *The Size, Shape, and Scattering of Sagittarius A* at 86 GHz: First VLBI with ALMA*, [arXiv:1901.06226v1 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#) 18 Jan 2019
- [8] *Lueftung des Schleiers um das zentrale schwarze Loch*, 25.1.2019, <http://www.pro-physik.de/details/news/11126368/Lueftung-des-Schleiers-um-das-zentrale-schwarze-Loch.html>
- [9] Department of Astrophysics: *Lifting the veil on the black hole at the heart of our Galaxy*, 21 January 2019, <https://www.ru.nl/astrophysics/news-agenda/news/news-ru/lifting-veil-black-hole-heart-our-galaxy/>
- [10] Loop Pacific: *supermassive-black-hole-appears-be-firing-beam-right-earth* 25.1.2019, <http://www.looppng.com/global-news/supermassive-black-hole-appears-be-firing-beam-right-earth-82097>
- [11] Avery E. Broderick and Ramesh Narayan: *On The Nature of the Compact Dark Mass at the Galactic Center*, 31.3.2006, [arXiv:astro-ph/0512211v2](#)
- [12] Avery E. Broderick, Vincent L. Fish, Michael D. Johnson, Katherine Rosenfeld, Carlos Wang, Sheperd S. Doeleman, Kazunori Akiyama, Tim Johannsen, and Alan L. Roy: MODELING SEVEN YEARS OF EVENT HORIZON TELESCOPE OBSERVATIONS WITH RADIATIVELY INEFFICIENT ACCRETION FLOW MODELS, *The Astrophysical Journal*, 820:137 (16pp), 2016 April 1
- [13] [Dheeraj R. Pasham](#) (MIT), [Ronald A. Remillard](#), [P. Chris Fragile](#), [Alessia Franchini](#), [Nicholas C. Stone](#), [Giuseppe Lodato](#), [Jeroen Homan](#), [Deepto Chakrabarty](#), [Frederick K. Baganoff](#), [James F. Steiner](#), [Eric R. Coughlin](#), [Nishanth R. Pasham](#): *A Remarkably Loud Quasi-Periodicity after a Star is Disrupted by a Massive Black Hole*, 25 Oct 2018, [arXiv:1810.10713v1 \[astro-ph.HE\]](#)
- [14] Rainer Kayser: *Sternentod verrät Spin eines schwarzen Lochs* 10. Januar 2019 <http://www.pro-physik.de/details/news/11123894/Sternentod-verraet-Spin-eines-schwarzen-Lochs.html>
- [15] N. Paul M. Kuin, Kinwah Wu, Samantha Oates, Amy Lien, Sam Emery, Jamie A. Kennea, Massimiliano de Pasquale, Qin Han, Peter J. Brown, Aaron Tohuvavohu, Alice Breeveld, David N. Burrows, S. Bradley Cenko, Sergio Campana, Andrew Levan, Craig Markwardt, Julian P. Osborne, Mat J. Page, Kim L. Page, Boris Sbarufatti, Michael Siegel, and Eleonora Troja: *Swift spectra of AT2018cow: A White Dwarf Tidal Disruption Event?* *MNRAS* 000, 1–17 (2019) Preprint 14 January 2019
- [16] Mike Mcrae: *Astronomers Are Closing in on The Source of a Mysterious Explosion Called 'The Cow'*, 11 JAN 2019 www.sciencealert.com/breaking-astronomers-are-closing-in-on-the-cause-of-a-mysterious-explosion-they-called-the-cow
- [17] Zeitschrift Die Welt: *Was verbirgt sich hinter der "kosmischen Kuh"?* 15.01.2019
- [18] Maurice H.P.M. van Putten, and Massimo Della Valle: *Observational evidence for Extended Emission to GW170817*, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.* 000 1–9 (2002) Printed 14 September 2018
- [19] Zeitschrift Die Welt: *Schwarzes Loch entpuppt sich als Neutronenstern*, 15.11.2018
- [20] *First steps in calculating supermassive objects (black holes) using TOV equation*, on the homepage of the author: <http://www.grt-li.de>
- [21] *Das Zweikörperproblem der GRT*, on the homepage of the author: <http://www.grt-li.de>
- [22] [Lorentz interpretation and Kerr metric.pdf](#) and others, on the homepage of the author: <http://www.grt-li.de>
- [23] *Supermassive objects (SMO's) calculated using the Tolman Oppenheimer Volkoff (TOV) equation and possible observation by gravitational waves (GW's) and by the event horizon telescope (EHT)*, see homepage of the author: <http://www.grt-li.de>
- [24] Brandes, J.; Czerniawski, J. (2010): *Spezielle und Allgemeine Relativitätstheorie für Physiker und Philosophen - Einstein- und Lorentz-Interpretation, Paradoxien, Raum und Zeit, Experimente*, Karlsbad: VRI, 4. erweiterte Auflage